DECISION MEMORANDUM

TO: COMMISSIONER KEMPTON

COMMISSIONER SMITH COMMISSIONER REDFORD COMMISSION SECRETARY

COMMISSION STAFF

FROM: KRISTINE SASSER

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

DATE: FEBRUARY 10, 2011

SUBJECT: IDAHO POWER'S FIVE APPLICATIONS FOR A DETERMINATION

REGARDING POWER PURCHASE AGREEMENTS WITH WIND DEVELOPERS: ALPHA, BRAVO, CHARLIE, DELTA, ECHO. CASE NOS. IPC-E-10-51, IPC-E-10-52, IPC-E-10-53, IPC-E-10-54 AND IPC-E-10-51.

55, RESPECTIVELY

On December 16, 2010, Idaho Power Company filed Applications requesting acceptance or rejection of five 20-year Firm Energy Sales Agreements (Agreements) between Idaho Power and Alpha Wind, LLC; Bravo Wind, LLC; Charlie Wind, LLC; Delta Wind, LLC; and Echo Wind, LLC. The five projects (Facilities) are all located near Burley, Idaho. The projects will all be "qualifying facilities" (QFs) under the applicable provisions of the federal PURPA.

THE AGREEMENTS

On December 15, 2010, Idaho Power and each of the five wind projects entered into their respective Agreements. Under the terms of the Agreements, the wind projects each agree to sell electric energy to Idaho Power for a 20-year term using the current non-levelized published avoided cost rates as currently established by the Commission in Order No. 31025 for energy deliveries of less than 10 aMW. Applications at 4. The Applications recite that the Alpha, Bravo, Delta and Echo facilities will have a maximum capacity amount of 29.9 MW. *Id.* Charlie will have a maximum capacity of 27.6 MW. Under normal and/or average conditions, each Facility will not exceed 10 aMW on a monthly basis. Idaho Power warrants that the Agreements comport with the terms and conditions of the various Commission Orders applicable to PURPA agreements for a wind resource. Order Nos. 30415, 30488, 30738 and 31025.

Each Facility has selected October 31, 2014, as its Scheduled First Energy Date and December 31, 2014, as its Scheduled Operation Date. Applications at 5. Idaho Power asserts that various requirements have been placed upon the Facilities in order for Idaho Power to accept the Facilities' energy deliveries. Idaho Power states that it will monitor the Facilities' compliance with initial and ongoing requirements through the term of the Agreements. The parties have each agreed to liquidated damage and security provisions of \$45 per kW of nameplate capacity. Agreement, ¶¶ 5.3.2, 5.8.1.

Idaho Power asserts that it has advised each Facility of the Facility's responsibility to work with Idaho Power's delivery business unit to ensure that sufficient time and resources will be available for delivery to construct the interconnection facilities, and transmission upgrades if required, in time to allow each Facility to achieve its December 31, 2014, Scheduled Operation Date. The Applications state that each Facility has been advised that delays in the interconnection or transmission process do not constitute excusable delays and if a Facility fails to achieve its Scheduled Operation Date delay damages will be assessed. Applications at 7. The Applications further maintain that each Facility has acknowledged and accepted the risk inherent in proceeding with its Agreement without knowledge of the requirements of interconnection and possible transmission upgrades. *Id.* at 7.

Idaho Power states that each Facility has also been made aware of and accepted the provisions in each Agreement and Idaho Power's approved Schedule 72 regarding non-compensated curtailment or disconnection of its Facility should certain operating conditions develop on Idaho Power's system. The Applications note that the parties' intent and understanding is that "non-compensated curtailment would be exercised when the generation being provided by the Facility in certain operating conditions exceeds or approaches the minimum load levels of [Idaho Power's] system such that it may have a detrimental effect upon [Idaho Power's] ability to manage its thermal, hydro, and other resources in order to meet its obligation to reliably serve loads on its system." *Id.* at 7-8.

By their own terms, the Agreements will not become effective until the Commission has approved all of the terms and conditions and declares that all payments made by Idaho Power to the Facilities for purchases of energy will be allowed as prudently incurred expenses for ratemaking purposes. Agreement ¶ 21.1.

Idaho Power's Applications specifically note the Joint Petition it filed with the Commission on November 5, 2010, requesting an immediate reduction in the published avoided cost rate eligibility cap from 10 aMW to 100 kW. Applications at 2. Idaho Power states that it is aware of and in compliance with its ongoing obligation under federal law, FERC regulations, and Idaho Public Utilities Commission Orders to enter into power purchase agreements with PURPA QFs. Id. at 3. However, Idaho Power asserts in each of its Applications that "the request in this Application...is made with the specific reservation of rights and incorporation of the averments set forth in the Joint Petition regarding the possible negative effects to the [sic] both the utility and its customers of additional and unfettered PURPA QF generation on system reliability, utility operations, and costs of incorporating and integrating such a large penetration level of PURPA QF generation into the utility's system." Id. Idaho Power further states that "the continuing and unchecked requirement for the Company to acquire additional intermittent and other QF generation regardless of its need for additional energy or capacity on its system not only circumvents the Integrated Resource Planning process and creates system reliability and operational issues, but it also increases the price its customers must pay for their energy needs." *Id.* at 4.

Idaho Power requests that its Applications be processed by Modified Procedure pursuant to Commission Rules of Procedure 201-204. IDAPA 31.01.01.201-.204.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that each Application be processed by Modified Procedure with a comment deadline of March 17, 2011.

COMMISSION DECISION

Does the Commission agree with the recommendation that each of these five Power Purchase Agreements be processed under Modified Procedure with a comment deadline of March 17?

Kristine A. Sasser

Deputy Attorney General

ine a. Sasser

 $M:IPC-E-10-51_IPC-E-10-52_IPC-E-10-53_IPC-E-10-54_IPC-E-10-55_ks$